



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,838	10/24/2003	Galen C. Hunt	MSI-1778US	1011
22801 7590 12/22/2011				
LEE & HAYES, PLLC				
601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE				
SUITE 1400				
SPOKANE, WA 99201				
EXAMINER				
BATES, KEVIN T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2456				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/22/2011		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/693,838	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUNT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KEVIN BATES	<b>Art Unit</b> 2456	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN BATES (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Shirley Anderson (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 16 December 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

**Substance of Interview**  
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner contacted the applicant regarding a question about the status of pages 34-113 of the instant specification. Those pages were flagged by the office's printing department as a possibly been unintentionally included. The applicant indicated that those pages had always been intended to be part of the specification and should be included in the patent when published.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/KEVIN BATES/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456	
--	--